

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,646	02/24/2004	Lewis George Gradon	1171/41687/139	2372
279 TREXLER B	7590 02/08/200 USHNELL, GIANGIOI		EXAM	IINER
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.			DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA	
105 WEST AL SUITE 3600	DAMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	. 60603		3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/785,646	GRADON ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Annette F. Dixon	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address-	-
nis application is abandoned in view of:			

Affilette F. Dixoff   3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	i
This application is abandoned in view of:	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 20 June 2007.</li> <li>A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiral period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> <li>b) A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the fin (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition of the condition of RCF) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.</li> </ol>	al rejection. he
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to t final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).	he non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of thr from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmi), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>	ission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	f
<ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), we after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	vhich is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.	
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest the applicants.	it, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 3 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	7 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> </ol>	ourt review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:	
Per telephone conversation with Applicant's representative's office on January 23, 2008, no response has to date.	een filed
/Justine R Yu/ /Annette F Dixon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771 Examiner, Art Unit 3771	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promprinimize any negative effects on patent term.	tly filed to